

Est.
1841

YORK
ST JOHN
UNIVERSITY

Bloom, Katy ORCID logoORCID:
<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4907-425X> (2024) Fostering
Metacognitive Awareness in Pre-Service Teachers. In: Association
of Science Education Teacher Developers National Conference,
21st June 2024, Institute of Physics, London. (Unpublished)

Downloaded from: <https://ray.yorks.ac.uk/id/eprint/10603/>

Research at York St John (RaY) is an institutional repository. It supports the principles of open access by making the research outputs of the University available in digital form. Copyright of the items stored in RaY reside with the authors and/or other copyright owners. Users may access full text items free of charge, and may download a copy for private study or non-commercial research. For further reuse terms, see licence terms governing individual outputs. [Institutional Repository Policy Statement](#)

RaY

Research at the University of York St John

For more information please contact RaY at ray@yorks.ac.uk

Fostering Metacognitive Awareness in Pre-Service Teachers

Dr Katy Bloom

Associate Professor | ITE

k.bloom@yorks.ac.uk


X | @bloom_growhow

Close-to-practice research

ITE Curriculum, Pedagogy and Assessment Research Group

- reciprocating inter-relationships between curriculum, pedagogy and assessment in the development of education professionals, and we research at the intersections of these complex dynamics
- rooted in the singular nature of student teachers' dual experiences as both learners and (future) teachers
- diversity of theoretical approaches within education
- methodologies ranging from case studies, action research, autoethnography and phenomenology to quasi-experimentation

Pasteur's Quadrant



Disciplinary identity and belonging

- Link of disciplinary identity with attainment (Vakil, 2020)
- Dual identity (Elbra-Ramsay, 2021; Zhan, 2023)
- Transdisciplinary threshold crossings (Masika, 2024)
- Student teachers have to see themselves as educators
- Science teacher identity strongly influenced by the science practice they perceive in school

Tensions at primary/secondary science

- Disconnect
 - Lack of confidence
 - Lack of subject knowledge
 - Impoverishment as a 'core' subject
-
- Year-on-year failure to meet recruitment targets
 - 1/3 science teachers planning to leave in 5 years
 - Loss of science technicians
 - overreliance on testing for external accountability at key stage 4 (GCSE level) and post 16 (A levels and equivalents), which fosters a culture of 'teach to the test' (ASE, 2024)

ASE election 'asks'

1. National review of the vision for science education
2. Reform of the science education curriculum and assessment

Whilst curriculum reform is taking place in Wales and Scotland, the national curriculum in England has not been reviewed over the last ten years and is outdated in many respects. It is content heavy, prioritises rote memorisation over critical thinking, problem solving and practical skills and discourages cross-disciplinary exploration.

ASE, 2024

The Statement

Initial Teacher Training and Early Career Framework [ICTECF]:

Learn that : Explicitly teaching pupils metacognitive strategies linked to subject knowledge, including how to plan, monitor and evaluate, supports independence and academic success.

[informed principally by the EEF (2018) Guidance Report & Evidence Review (2020)]

The Sell

Metacognition, the ability to reflect on and regulate one's own thinking processes, is argued to play a pivotal role in both effective teaching and lifelong learning, with suggestions explicit practice is beneficial for disadvantaged pupils

(EEF Toolkit + 7 months)

Metacognition and self-regulation

Very high impact for very low cost based on extensive evidence.



The Problem

KB: So, at the start of the year, I stood there in that in that lecture theatre, and I said, 'who knows what metacognition is?' And I think about 10 people put their hands up.

Billy: Yeah, I was not one of those 10.

KB: So, it was never anything that was explicitly talked about with you when you were at school?

Billy: No, it was never mentioned...cognition... Yeah. From my previous time in sixth form because I did psychology. So, we said the word 'cognition' quite a lot. But metacognition was never brought up as that phrase. The closest that I would say I got to that phrase was cognitive *load*, because that was brought of my previous workplace that was like some training we did. But metacognition.... I don't remember being brought up.

The Reality

Student teachers often commence Initial Teacher Education without a robust understanding of metacognitive strategies and their application in educational contexts.

They are often therefore ill-prepared to explicitly model and teach it, without receiving instruction and practise themselves.

Metacognitive knowledge and self-regulation do not happen by osmosis...why do teacher education programmes behave as if they do?





The plan

Direct approach - explicit instruction and 'teacher' modelling ('plan, monitor, evaluate') [EEF]

Indirect approach - creating a conducive learning environment, with guided practice, including dialogue and (scaffolded) enquiry

Blend - explicit teaching of strategies and teacher modelling, practise through verbalising, and more open-ended enquiry work during which prompts and scaffolds may be faded to promote more student agency and autonomy.

The disrupt



Which ball is the
bounciest?

Pointing

Prange's operational model of education (2012, Biesta, 2022)

‘Zeigen’ (‘pointing’ and ‘showing’)

What the ‘teaching’ is about/operationalised.

“What is distinctive about pointing is its ‘double character’, as the one pointing is not just pointing *at something* but is, in the act of pointing, referring *to someone*. The ‘Look there!’ of pointing always means ‘*You* look there!’, so we might say” (Biesta, 2022:20)

The prompt

Adapt...to adjust to

Compromise...to reach an agreement by give and take

Explore...to look into closely, to examine

Interpret...to explain the meaning of

Prioritise...to organise points/factors in order of importance

Analyse...to break things down to see how something works

Decipher...to discover the meaning of something hidden

Generalise...to apply a wide meaning to different situations

Manage...to bring about or to exert control over

Process...perform a series of actions to bring about a result

Apply...to put to a relevant use

Define...to describe the meaning of something

Generate...to make, to bring into being

Manipulate...to move things around with skill

Question...to ask something to find out an answer

Characterise...to describe using the distinctive features of

Develop...to extend from a simple to a more advanced state

**THINKING
and TALKING
about your
THINKING**

Model...to create a description that explains how something works

Reconstruct...to rebuild or reassemble in a different way

Collaborate...to work together equally

Differentiate...to recognise differences between

Negotiate...to discuss in order to reach agreement

Reflect...to think about what you did and how you did it

Combine...to join together

Evaluate...to examine evidence and form an opinion/conclusion

Hypothesise...to float an idea or propose an explanation

Organise...to give structure to

Summarise...to make a shorter version of something

Communicate...give information, to make something known

Examine...to investigate or consider critically

Identify...to recognise something by analysis

Plan...to devise a scheme to accomplish a purpose

Synthesise...to bring things together to make something new

Compare...to look for similarities and differences

Explain...to say why

Implement...to put into practice

Predict...to say what might happen in the future

Visualise...to see in your mind's eye

The study

Semi-longitudinal [2 semesters]

Pre- and post-intervention surveys (n=131)

12 science workshops with blended approach

- Metacognitive knowledge
- Skills development
- Debriefing and discussion
- Plenary reflective activities (Menti, Gimme 5, Padlet)

Interview f2f + Teams/Focus group/Written response

The learning

Learning gains: It sounds a bit egotistical, but I know I've worked hard in some regards and that makes me feel really good. I know that I've worked hard because I know the learning I've done if that makes sense? So, I do think it is actually quite important to bring up metacognition. It made me recognise where I've come from this year.

When you look back at yourself, like when I was at sixth form or at the beginning of Uni, I'm just like 'why wasn't I just doing this already? I can open up a book or look at some planning and I know exactly what it means. I'm like, feel very cemented where I am now. I feel like I've got a really strong foundation.

It's made me realise how much feedback and feedforward we get as student teachers.

I liked doing the reading because it kind of gave me insight into what we were going to do. And it sounds kind of big headed, but I I love answering questions. I *like* being more knowledgeable.

Removing distractions: So, my computer is my big distraction. I'm a big nerd. I love my computer to play games, so I don't do work on my computer. I take myself to the library and removing [sic] myself physically from my bedroom, so I don't game, I take my laptop to work on cos I don't use that for games.

Time to focus: Work in the library with Hannah. Hannah's a really hard worker so I find it really easy to work around her because she's focused and I'm like, aah, I can be focused, and I find that really helpful to work with others as well who are on the same wavelength as me.

Looking forward: And it's kind of got me quite geared up for year two.

Give me 5


Thumbs up: What new science have you learnt?

Pointing finger: What skills have you used today?

Middle Finger: How did modelling help you learn something?

Ring Finger: How did you show commitment to today's learning? Who did you help today?

Pinkie Promise: What will you make sure you remember from today's lesson?



First finger – skills

Modelling

Reflecting ***

Teamwork ****

collaboration *****

Communication ****

Negotiating agreement *****

Organisation ** * (planning)

Implementing (making the model)

** generating ****

Visualisation, using analogies

Evaluating (models) ***

Creativity (making models)

Adapting ****

Problem solving

Decision making

Applying ****

Patience **

observation

listening

A pedagogy of attention

The process of attention in itself is of considerably greater value than whatever it is that is being attended to:

‘Although people seem to be unaware of it today, the development of the faculty of attention forms the real object and almost the sole interest of studies. Most school tasks have a certain intrinsic interest as well, but such an interest is secondary. All tasks which really call upon the power of attention are interesting for the same reason and to an almost equal degree.’

Weil (2021:62)



Candle Race 1

John noticed that when Jo lit a candle in a small lantern it often flickered and went out.

When Sue lit the candle in a much larger lantern it usually burned brighter and for much longer.

Jo said, “I bet a candle with more air around it will burn better than one in a small space’

Design an experiment to find out if this is true.

Adapted from McGregor (2008)

Candle Race 2 (enquiry, plus)

Design an experiment to find out if this is true.

Equipment you might use:

Think carefully about the following before you start to carry out the experiment.

- How can you accurately measure how long the flames burn in different sized jars?
- How can you make sure that your tests are fair?
- How will you present your results?
- How many times do you need to repeat the experiment so you know your results will be reliable?
- What do your results mean?



Candle Race 3 (enquiry, plus+)

This is an investigation to find out if the amount of air available in the lantern affects how long remains alight.

You will need:

3 candles of the same size

Bunsen burner

Small jar, Medium size jar, Large jar

spill

safety mat

goggles

stop clock



What to do:

Light the Bunsen burner (remember you must have your goggles on)

Set out each of the candles, with a jar close by, ready to light the spill.

Light each candle.

Start the stop clock at the same time place the jars over each candle as in the diagram above.

Record how long each flame burns for and fill in the results below.

After each try turn the jars upside down several times before repeating the experiment.





Can you explain your findings?

Time that candle Burns for	Small jar	Medium jar	Large jar
1st try			
2nd try			
3rd try			
Average of all three tries:			


The role of feedback

Feedback does not take place in a vacuum

‘Feedback can only build on something; it is of little use when there is no initial learning or surface information’ (Hattie & Timperley, 2007)

Feedback more likely to move learning forward			Less likely
Task  <p><i>Feedback focused on improving a specific piece of work or specific type of task. It can comment on whether an answer is correct or incorrect, can give a grade, and will offer specific advice on how to improve learning.</i></p>	Subject  <p><i>Feedback targets the underlying processes in a task, which are used across a subject. The feedback can, therefore, be applied in other subject tasks.</i></p>	Self-regulation strategies  <p><i>Feedback is focused on the learner's own self-regulation. It is usually provided as prompts and cues—and aims to improve the learner's own ability to plan, monitor, and evaluate their learning.</i></p>	Personal  <p><i>About the person. It may imply that pupils have an innate ability (or lack of) and is often very general and lacking in information.</i></p>

Process and SR Feedback – and feedforward



Ramprasad's feedback 'loop' reimagined to 'close the gap' (Bloom, 2020)

Process and Self-regulation

statements

Office on the web Frame

You interpreted/explained that well
You applied your knowledge well there
That was a good analysis
That was a good strategy to use
Clear summary of the method
You communicated well with each other
Excellent modelling of skills there
That was good effort there
I liked the way you kept on at that
You worked really hard at that...
I was impressed with how hard you worked
You've put a lot of thought into how that would....
Good reflections on your learning!
You really persevered with ...
Well done for carrying on when you found it challenging

Process and Self-regulation

prompts

What would happen if...?
What was your starting point?
How could you break that down?
What else could you add?
How could you develop this further?
What more would you like to find out?
What does this lead onto?
How did you do it/create it?
How do you know that?
What kind of data would support...?
What is this an example of?
What is another way to explain...?
Tell me more about...
What were the reasons you chose to do it that way?
Did you change anything?
Why is that important?
How does...affect...?

The next step

After this analysis...

Development of a new module through revalidation
'Professional and Academic skills'

- Independence and autonomy
- Agency and self-regulation
- Thinking skills
- Learning journal
- Metacognitive summative assessment 2.06

Ethical approval for 24/25 enlarged study.

Metacognition ITAP

References

- Biesta, G. (2022). *Why the form of teaching matters: Defending the integrity of education and of the work of teachers beyond agendas and good intentions*. Revista de Educación, 395. January-March 2022, pp. 13-33.
- Bloom, K. (2020). The Role of Self-Regulation Feedback on Students' Self-Beliefs Systems in Physics. <https://etheses.whiterose.ac.uk/27203/>
- Colin, J. & Quigley, A. (2018). Teacher feedback to improve pupil learning. Education Endowment Foundation.
- Elbra-Ramsay, C. (2021). Roles, relationships and emotions: Student teachers' understanding of feedback as interpersonal. Research in Education, Vol. 113(1) 3–24
- Foster, D. (2024). *Simone Weil and the Education of Attention*. Online article https://medium.com/@d.foster_80457/simone-weil-and-the-education-of-attention-721522d565b4
- Masika, R. (2024). Keynote speech. Disciplinary spaces in higher education, student identity and sense of belonging. HERG Symposium 10th June 2024, York St John University.
- McGregor, D. (2008). *The influence of task structure on students' learning processes: Observations from case studies in secondary school science*. Journal of Curriculum Studies 40 (4) pp. 509-540.
- Muijs, D. & Bokhove, C. (2020) Metacognition and Self-Regulation: Evidence Review. Education Endowment Foundation.
- Powell, G. Duffy, L. Stone, G. Pinckney, H. Tucker, T. & Cathey, J. (2016). *Triple Gain: Practical Ideas for Maximizing Connections Between Students, Faculty, and Professionals*. Scholastic. 31. 56-67
- Quigley, A., Muijs, D. & Stringer, E. (2018). Metacognition and Self-regulated learning Guidance report. Education Endowment Foundation.
- Stanford Encyclopaedia of Philosophy (2021). Simone Weil. <https://plato.stanford.edu/entries/simone-weil/>
- Stokes, D. E. (1997). *Pasteur's Quadrant: Basic Science And Technological Innovation*. Brookings
- Vakil, S. (2020). "I've Always Been Scared That Someday I'm Going to Sell Out": Exploring the relationship between Political Identity and Learning in Computer Science Education. Cognition and Instruction 38(4):1-29
- Weil, S. (2021) *Waiting for God*. London: Routledge
- Zhan, Y. (2023). Feedback Literacy of Teacher Candidates: Roles of Assessment Course Learning Experience and Motivations for Becoming a Teacher. Asia-Pacific Edu Res (2023). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40299-023-00779-1>